



01-03-06.

EXPRESS MAIL NO. EV529787389US

LFW

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM***(To be used for all correspondence
after initial filing)*

Application Number	10/737,271
Filing Date	December 15, 2003
First Named Inventor	Adrian Wing Fai Lo
Art Unit	2874
Examiner Name	Ellen E. Kim
Attorney Docket No.	890050.450

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Response <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement and Transmittal <input type="checkbox"/> Cited References <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Corrected Filing Receipt <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation, Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration <input type="checkbox"/> Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (<i>Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt Postcard <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (<i>please identify below</i>): <u>Request for Issuance of a</u> <u>New Office Action</u> _____ _____
---	---	--

Remarks**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name	Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC	Customer Number	00500
Signature			
Printed Name	David V. Carlson		
Date	December 30, 2005	Reg. No.	31,153

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature			
Typed or printed name		Date:	



EXPRESS MAIL NO. EV529787389US

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Adrian Wing Fai Lo et al.
Application No. : 10/737,271
Filed : December 15, 2003
For : OPTICAL MODULE AND A METHOD OF FABRICATING THE
SAME

Examiner : Ellen E. Kim
Art Unit : 2874
Docket No. : 890050.450
Date : December 30, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR ISSUANCE OF A NEW OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents:

Applicants' attorney requests a new office action, with a new starting date to replace and clarify the office action mailed December 15, 2005. The office action mailed does not provide an explanation for all claims and the basis of the rejection of some of the claims is unclear in order for applicant to make a response, clarification is required. Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to issue a new office action.


In particular, on page 1, claims 1-16 were listed as being rejected. On page 2, only claim 1 was listed as being rejected. However, on pages 4 and 5; claims 3, 4, 5, 6, 8-12, 14 and 15 were specifically mentioned was made on the same grounds. Applicants' attorney does not know if a rejection to these claims as well. An additional rejection was made on claims 2-16 on page 6. Claims 7 and 13 were mentioned nowhere in the office action. It appears therefore

Application No. 10/737,271

Reply to Office Action dated December 15, 2005

that claims 7 and 13 may be allowable since they were not rejected on any grounds. If they were rejected, the grounds are not stated in the office action. Unfortunately, page 1 lists claims 1-16 as being rejected, therefore applicants' attorney is unable to formulate a response to the office action since it is not clear which claims were rejected and the basis. A request is hereby made for a new office action, which resets the starting period for the response.

Respectfully submitted,
SEED Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "David V. Carlson", is written over a horizontal line.

David V. Carlson
Registration No. 31,153

DVC:lcs

Enclosure:
Postcard

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300
Seattle, Washington 98104-7092
Phone: (206) 622-4900
Fax: (206) 682-6031

730485_1.DOC